

*** Lack of Innovation**

Despite repeated requests from the community, the district has ignored thoughtful, community-supported alternatives to school closures. Proposals like true small school models, magnet programs, enrichment programs, and innovative configurations (such as a 5/6 or 7/8 center) have been dismissed without ANY real discussion.

Worse, the Long Range Planning Committee was a performance for the sole purpose of tricking the community to vote for your 2023 bond - designed to appear inclusive while pushing a predetermined agenda. The community deserves authentic problem-solving, not lip service.

*** Irresponsible Spending**

It is unacceptable and reckless to continue building new schools while closing existing ones under the guise of budget shortfalls. Travisso and Steiner Ranch share nearly identical demographics, and PASA data shows Travisso will generate less than half a student per home. Why are we building there?

Meanwhile, LISD is paying 40% more per campus than neighboring districts for lesser facilities. Use the resources we have and show fiscal responsibility.

*** "Pretend" Engagement**

For over two years, you've promised genuine community engagement - yet time and again, you've pushed ahead with plans while dismissing feedback. It's clear this is about checking boxes, not listening.

You've alienated the very communities you claim to serve by treating their voices as obstacles

rather than partners. That's not engagement. That's manipulation.

*** Biased Decisions**

The proposed closures unfairly target specific communities, essentially choosing which families get to thrive and which ones are left behind. You are punishing certain communities to deliver political promises to others. Regardless of ZIP code or neighborhood, these decisions are creating divides, not closing gaps. The board and administration is handpicking the winners and kicking the losers to the curb based on politics and promises.

*** Bad Data**

The data presented to justify closures has been incomplete at best, largely misleading, and in some cases, manipulated. You've changed capacity numbers to fit your narrative, and still have not provided a breakdown of actual costs and savings by campus.

How can the public trust your decisions when basic facts are hidden or distorted? Transparency isn't optional - it's essential.

*** No Plan**

There is no clear, comprehensive plan - just vague intentions and incomplete ideas. You haven't shown how closures would actually save money or benefit students.

The lack of specifics, absence of contingency plans, and failure to address long-term consequences make this process feel reckless, not strategic.